

MONTE CARLO EVENT GENERATION WITH RADIATIVE QED PROCESSES IN DEEP-INELASTIC SCATTERING

Nicolas Pierre, for the COMPASS collaboration March 31, 2017 – DPG'17 HK 57.3



CONTENTS



Radiative corrections : characterization and impact.

The DJANGOH generator for radiative events

 Inclusion of Radiative
 Corrections in Monte-Carlo

antal problem

Experimental problem :

can't distinguish radiative photon from non-radiative ones...

INTRODUCTION TO RADIATIVE CORRECTION

When extracting PDFs or FFs, obtain cross-sections :

$$\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\mathrm{exp}} = \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{th} \Big[F_n \big(x, Q^2, \ldots \big) \Big]$$

 $\sigma_{th} = \sigma^{(0)} [F_n] + \alpha_{em} \sigma^{(1)} [F_n] + \dots$

Taking into account higher order corrections :





It is the convolution of the true cross-section times the radiator function :

$$d\sigma^{obs}(p,q) = \int \frac{d^3k}{2k^0} R(l,l',k) d\sigma^{true}(p,-q,k)$$

Same goes for structure functions :

$$F_n^{obs}(x,Q^2) = \int d\tilde{x} d\tilde{Q}^2 R_n(x,Q^2,\tilde{x},\tilde{Q}^2) F_n^{true}(\tilde{x},\tilde{Q}^2)$$

Formulas can be extended for higher-order multi-photon emission.

CHARACTERIZATION OF A RADIATIVE EVENT







IMPACT OF RADIATIVE CORRECTIONS



Impact in SIDIS :

Difference between the hadronic and leptonic kinematic variables induces that eventually some hadrons fall into the wrong (x,y) bin.

Application of the correction factor to multiplicities is performing kinematic event migration, 'redirecting' these hadrons.





For hadron radiative correction, use of TERAD, which does second order calculation of these corrections.

However, still don't know where the radiative photon goes...

For this, need a radiative event generator. COMPASS used RADGEN generator in previous analyses.

Nicolas Pierre – Monte Carlo Event Generation with Radiative QED processes in Deep–Inelastic Scattering March 31, 2017 – DPG'17 HK 57.3

FINDING THE BEST RC GENERATOR

RADGEN : produces more hard photons (high energy photons) than soft photon (low energy photons).

Naïve thinking : in theory, more soft than hard photons.

But : MC simulation + RADGEN do not describe well data : too much hard photon.

> Can we use another MC generator for radiative events and see if it reproduces the results of RADGEN ?

n

20



1400

0

_20

Energy of radiated photon (0.8<y<0.9, 1<Q²<2)

60

80

100

40



htemp

Entries

Mean RMS

120

140

160

GeV

14895

120

34.79

DJANGOH



DJANGOH, concatenation of DJANGO and HERACLES :

- Event generator for neutral/charged current ep interactions at HERA by H. Spiesberger.
- **G** Simulates DIS including both QED and QCD radiative effect.
- Includes single photon emission from lepton/quark line, self energy corrections and complete set of one-loop weak corrections.
- **I** Includes also the background from $ep \rightarrow ep\gamma$.
- Capable of obtaining hadronic final state via the use of JETSET.
- Modified to work for µp interactions.
- Uses exact calculations and no approximations.
- Fortran framework.

DJANGOH/RADGEN COMPARISON







First observation :

DJANGOH produces more soft photons than hard photons

DJANGOH/RADGEN COMPARISON





DJANGOH produces less hard photons than RADGEN





A GEANT4-based Monte-Carlo simulation for the COMPASS-II experiment.

C++ framework

🔲 Modular

Can handle event generators for specific interaction simulation

EVENT GENERATION HANDLING IN TGEANT





JGL cea **INTERNAL IMPLEMENTATION OF DJANGOH TGEANT** : Beam Gen TGEANT C++ Interface to Djangoh recovers infos of Beamfile from TGEANT Interface run Djangoh as subroutine Interface send LUJETS result of Djangoh to TGEANT DJANGOH Rotation of 4-V of outgoing particles TGEANT creates outgoing particles, kill beam **Recover results in detectors TGEAN1**

: An Interface to Djangoh (only ROOT dependent)

INTERNAL IMPLEMENTATION OF DJANGOH

- Creates instance of Djangoh that can be manipulated in any C/ C++ environment
- TDjangoh can be used within the ROOT framework (future) use ?)

Process Class : T4DjangohProcess

- ▶ Is a TGEANT class
- Manipulates instance of TDjangoh
- Do the I/O transfer of TGeant to TDjangoh



Interface Class : TDjangoh Is a standalone class

INCLUSIVE RC FACTOR IN (X,Y) BINS





RC @ 0.200000 < y < 0.300000



Discrepancy between DJANGOH and TERAD : what is the cause ?

One trail : difference in structure functions parametrizations

Correction up to 17%, mean correction around 5%

Nicolas Pierre – Monte Carlo Event Generation with Radiative QED processes in Deep-Inelastic Scattering March 31, 2017 – DPG'17

CONCLUSION & PROSPECTS

- Radiative Corrections can be calculated exactly thanks to objects like radiator function.
- RC needed for SIDIS crosssections. Impact of these corrections in this channel are not negligible : corrections goes up to 17%, average at ~5%.
- Idea is to choose the best event generator consistent with real data and use modularity of MC chain to put it in.
- Production of MC with Djangoh as event generator has begun for 2016 setup, hopefully giving better agreement than Radgen. Actually in the reconstruction step.



BACKUP

UNFOLDING

Determination of the true cross-sections from the measured ones :

$$d\sigma^{obs}(p,q) = \int \frac{d^3k}{2k^0} R(l,l',k) d\sigma^{true}(p,-q,k)$$

Typical answer : an iterative solution !

- But, ill defined : No unique solution
 - large uncertainties
 - numerically unstable

However, with partial functioning : $R(l,l',k) = \frac{I}{k \cdot l} + \frac{F}{k \cdot l'} + \frac{C}{\tilde{Q}^2}$

- ▶ Initial state radiation : k.l small for $\sphericalangle(l_{in}, \gamma) \rightarrow 0$
- Final state radiation : k.l' small for $\measuredangle(l_{out}, \gamma) \rightarrow 0$
- **Compton peak** : Q^2 small for $p_T(l_{out}) \sim p_T(\gamma)$

SOME WORDS ON HADRONIC RADIATION

Cancels with loops, collinear emission give rise to correction of type :

$$\frac{\alpha}{2\pi} \log\left[m_q^2\right] \quad where \quad m_q = 0$$

Solution is to factorize and absorb the divergences into PDF.

$$d\boldsymbol{\sigma} = \sum_{f} d\hat{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}_{f} \Big[1 + \delta_{f} \Big(Q^{2}, m_{q}^{2} \Big) \Big] q_{f} (x) = \sum_{f} d\hat{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}_{f} \hat{q}_{f} \Big(x, Q^{2} \Big)$$

However, due to the difference of charge between quarks, there's an isospin violating effect.

INTERFACE CLASS

Changed input method of Djangoh :

- Standard method input file.
- But : input file is not efficient when producing 1M events changing input between each generation.
- Solution : drawing correspondence between struct in C++ and COMMON blocks in Fortran.
- Defined input values necessary for Djangoh in Interface.





Corresponding COMMON block in Fortran

 51
 COMMON (/IHSCUT) IXMIN, IXMAX, IQ2MIN, IQ2MAX, IYMIN, IYMAX, IWMIN

 52
 REAL

 52
 REAL

When a value is given to a member of the block in C++, we retrieve the same value in its Fortran counterpart and vice-versa.

INTERFACE CLASS

Output of Interface :

- Lujets_t object containing the list of all particles.
- Lujets_t linked to LUJETS COMMON block.
- Can be obtained from Interface via GetLujets() method.
- Checked consistency between what obtained in Fortran subroutine and what recovered in Interface

13	struct l	_ujets_t¬
14	{¬	
15	int	N;¬
16	int	NPAD;¬
	int	K[5][4000];-
18	double	P[5][4000];-
19	double	V[5][4000];-
20	};-	

From Djangoh (fortran)

Event listing (summary)										
I	particle/je	tКS	KF d	orig	p_×	р_у	Fp_z	Coremits	nNetw	
1	mu-	1	13	0	-1.371	-0.842	115.003	115.015	0.106	
2	К-	1	-321	0	1.639	0.142	17.036	17.123	0.494	
ja3	p+ TGEAN	1	2212	0	0.416	-0.413	0.512	1.219	0.938	
4	gamma	1	22	0	0.185	0.384	7.085	7.097	0.000	
5	gamma	1	22	0	0.017	-0.007	0.170	0.171	0.000	
6	pi+	1	211	0	-0.396	-0.119	4.098	4.121	0.140	
7	gamma	1	22	0	-0.105	-0.023	1.058	1.063	0.000	
8	gamma	1	22	0	-0.109	0.114	2.146	2.151	0.000	
. 9	gamma	1	22	0	-0.050	0.348	3.881	3.897	0.000	
^{ISP} 10 ^S	gamma 04820	٥/ ₁	22	0	-0.085	0.082	1.170	1.176	0.000	
11	qamma	1	- 22	0	-0.116	0.203	3.625	3.632	0.000	
12	qamma	1	22	0	-0.085	0.158	1.452	1.463	0.000	
13	qamma	1	22	0	0.137	-0.068	ter <u>1</u> .079	1.090	0.000	
14	qamma	1	22	0	0.001	-0.102	0.916	0.922	0.000	
15	aamma	1	22	0	0.014	0.111	0.353	0.371	0.000	
16	gamma	1	22	0	-0.092	0.033	0.416	0.427	0.000	
		sum:	0.00		-0.000	-0.000	160.000	160.938	17.353	

From Interface

Event Generation RANNIX HIXIRY FVELSET BY RELIXED : 4 BOLD = 38901 OVES 2016	
RANLUX INITIALIZED BY RLUXGO FROM SEEDS 2244 0	0
Event Generated !	
Total number of particles: 16	
Xbj : 0.0427037 y : 0.281 158 qz / 3.60494	

Results from Fortran subroutine are well passed to the Interface

OPTIMIZING TDJANGOH

Djangoh was designed :

- to work with only one input of incoming lepton energy
- to then run multiple event based on this unique energy

TGEANT needs :

- ▶ to generate events with incoming lepton energy picked from beam file
 - means 1 event = 1 energy

The way it is handled :

- 'open' Djangoh, run 1 event, 'close'
- Not really optimized : each time, lot of redundant stuff are made



LOOKING FOR TIME CONSUMING PROCESSES

For 20 events

- Time elapsed : 4m 02s
- Means : 12.1 s/evt

Where does it take so long ?

Integration step where cross sections for radiative processes are calculated

Is it possible to do it once ?

Integration step depends on the incoming particle energy, hence not trivial to do it once.

Look at 1 event



FROM ONE TO A GRID OF CROSS-SECTIONS

For several input energies

COMMON /HSSNC2/

@ E₀



COMMON /HSSNC2/ @ E₀







- .
- .

COMMON /HSSNC2/

@ E_n

MODIFICATION OF DJANGOH

Before

SUBROUTINE HSMAIN() Initialisation step

Receive input from Interface

X-section calculation step

For one energy calculates corresponding X-sections for radiative processes

Event generation step

Generate events according to calculated X-sections for radiative processes

SUBROUTINE HSINIT()

Receive input from Interface

After

For a GIVEN RANGE of

energy calculates corresponding X-sections GRID for radiative processes

SUBROUTINE HSEVTG()

Generate events according to calculated X-sections for radiative processes picked in the GRID wrt. INPUT ENERGY

THE CROSS-SECTION GRID

The ac	tual container / The type mimic	1 MODULE xSectionModule 2 3 ··USE ISO_C_BINDING
78 C 79 ··· ·· LOG 80 ··· ·· ·· doul 81 ··· ·· ·· COM 82 ··· ·· + 83 ··· ·+ 84 ··· ·+ 85 ··· ·+ 86 ··· ·+	NREG2N=NBIN2**NDIM2¬ CCAL LGL02,LL0C2¬ Dle precision ntot2¬ MON /HSSNC2/ SIG2,SIG2E,T2GGMA,T2GMAX(NREG2N),¬ XX2(50,2),¬ FFG02,DNCG2,FFL02,DNCL2,G0LD2,¬ NM2(NREG2N),ND02,¬ NT0T2,NCAL2,NCA12,NCA22,IBIM2,JCOR2,~ LGL02,LL0C2¬	<pre>4 5 ••TYPE, BIND(C) :: xSection2¬ 6 ••REAL :: SIG2¬ 7 ••REAL :: SIG2E¬ 8 ••REAL :: T2GMAX(2500)¬ 10 ••REAL :: T2GMAX(2500)¬ 10 ••REAL :: XX2(50,2)¬ 11 ••REAL :: FFG02¬ 11 ••REAL :: FFG02¬ 12 ••REAL :: FFL02¬ 13 ••REAL :: FFL02¬ 14 ••REAL :: GOLD2¬</pre>
The type in order	e mimic is placed in a <u>Module</u> to be used and recognised as	16 ··· REAL :: NM2(2500)¬ 17 ·· REAL :: ND02¬ 18 ·· DOUBLE PRECISION :: NT0T2¬ 19 ·· REAL :: NCAL2¬ 20 ·· REAL :: NCA12¬ 21 ·· REAL :: NCA22¬ 22 ·· REAL :: IBIM2¬

subroutine (because fortran)

REAL :: JCOR2

END TYPE

LOGICAL :: LGL02 LOGICAL :: LL0C2

THE CROSS-SECTION GRID

220	(¬	
221	···· TYPE(xSection2) :: HSXNC2 ¬	
222	···· TYPE(xSection2C) :: HSXCC2 ¬	
223	···· TYPE(xSection2E) :: HSXEL2 ¬	
224	···· TYPE(xSection31) :: HSXN31 ¬	Then declaration of
225	···· TYPE(xSection32) :: HSXN32 ¬	
226	···· TYPE(xSection33) :: HSXN33¬	COMMON Block
227	···· TYPE(xSection34) :: HSXN34 ¬	that consists of
228	···· TYPE(xSection31C) :: HSXC31 ¬	
229	···· TYPE(xSection32C) :: HSXC32¬	tables of types
230	• • • TYPE(xSection33C) :: HSXC33¬	
231	••••• TYPE(xSection31E) :: HSXE31¬	=
232	••••• TYPE(xSection32E) :: HSXE32¬	
233	••••• TYPE(xSection33E) :: HSXE33¬	COMMON Block of
234	COMMON /HSXSEC/ HSXNC2(100),HSXCC2(100),HSXEL2(100),	
235	HSXN31(100),HSXN32(100),HSXN33(100),HSXN34(100),-	GRIDS
236	HSXC31(100),HSXC32(100),HSXC33(100),¬	
237	HSXE31(100),HSXE32(100),HSXE33(100)¬	
238	COMMON /HSGRID/ GDSIZE, GDMEAN, GDSDDV	
239	C	

THE CROSS-SECTION GRID

1157	$\mathcal{C}_{\text{solv}}$
1158	C INTEGRATION / INITIALIZATION FOR EVENT SAMPLING
1159	C DETERMINATION OF GLOBAL/LOCAL MAXIMA
1160	C*************************************
1161	
1162	GDSIZE=4-
1163	GDSDDV=4.0-
1164	GDSCLE=2.0-
1165	CDMEAN=160.0-
1166	CLOOPY-LOOP OVER XSECTION GRID
1167	D0 3980 I = 1, GDSIZE
1168	INFOSA=0
1169	·····EELE=GDNEAN-GDSDDV+(I-1)*GDSCLE-
1170	WRITE(455,*)'EELE VALUE : '-
1171	WRITE(455,) EELE
1172	C—–NEUTRAL CURRENT-
1173	WRITE(455,*) 'NEUTRAL CURRENT TREE'
1174	C-BORN TERM + SOFT & VIRTUAL CORRECTIONS
1175	с- <u> </u>
1176	I IF(INT2(1).GE.1) THEN
1177	WRITE(LUNOUT,'(///,5X,2A)'), 'START INTEGRATION FOR ',-
1178	•••••• * CHNAME(1) - •
1179	C——INTEGRATION¬
1180	
1181	CALL HSINIT(INCCC, C), NBIN2, ND02, SIG2, -
1182	SIG25XX2)
1183	······································
1184	HSXNC2(I)%SIGE=SIG2E
1185	·····································
1186	ROSS SECTION (WITH ERROR ESTIMATE) FOR THE CHANNEL:',
1187	
1188	····· * // ' NB'
1189	WRITE(LUNTES,'(5X,A,1PD10.1)') ' RELATIVE ACCURACY REQUIRED:',-
1190	EPS0 -

To initialize the grid, just loop over the different bins and take the center of the bin as energy of incoming lepton for cross-section calculation.

VARIATION OF CROSS-SECTION WITH ENERGY

Diff. on [140,180]: 9.5 nB 2.38 nB 2.0 nB 0.06 nB 13.9 nB



VARIATION OF PHOTON ENERGY WITH X



31

VARIATION OF PHOTON ENERGY WITH Y

